


Survey Results


Legend

Question text




n=No. of responses
av.=Mean
dev.=Std. Dev.
ab.=Abstention


1. Contents: Please answer all questions which are applicable. Do not mark more than one box per question.




2. Organisation




2.2) Laboratory facilities were sufficiently well explained.



2.3) Accompanying material (scripts, bibliographies, downloads etc.) was helpful.




2.4) Volume of accompanying material was adequate.




3. Execution


3.1) Experiments were adequately supported.




3.2) Tasks could be completed in the allotted time.




3.3) Students could influence the laboratory/training sessions.




3.4) Performance of the students was assessed appropriately.



3.5) I was expected to work independently.




3.6) I prefer a laboratory project to carrying out several different experiments.




4. Lecturers


4.1) Lecturers encouraged students to ask questions.



4.2) ...answered questions in an understandable manner.




4.3) ...dealt competently with mistakes made by students.







5. General conditions




6. Overall impression




6.2) Time effort and learning success were well matched.



6.3) Performance assessment was reasonable.



6.4) Which grade would you give this module/unit?



Profile

Subunit: Fb 2 LV-Evaluation
 Name of the instructor: Prof. Dr. Oliver Hahm
 Name of the course: Practical Computer Networks and Applications
 (Name of the survey)

Values used in the profile line: Mean

1. Contents: Please answer all questions which are applicable. Do not mark more than one box per question.

1.1) Learning objectives were clearly defined.	fully agree		fully disagree	n=11	av.=1,9	md=2,0	dev.=1,0
1.2) Learning objectives were achieved.	fully agree		fully disagree	n=11	av.=2,1	md=2,0	dev.=1,0
1.3) Laboratory/training exercise matched the lecture course.	fully agree		fully disagree	n=11	av.=1,6	md=1,0	dev.=0,8
1.4) Laboratory/training exercise was relevant in a practical context.	fully agree		fully disagree	n=11	av.=1,8	md=2,0	dev.=0,8
1.5) Experiments dealt with current issues in a practical context.	fully agree		fully disagree	n=11	av.=1,8	md=2,0	dev.=0,6
1.6) Experiments corresponded to the learning objectives.	fully agree		fully disagree	n=11	av.=2,0	md=2,0	dev.=0,9
1.7) Experiments were interesting in themselves.	fully agree		fully disagree	n=11	av.=2,1	md=2,0	dev.=1,3
1.8) This type of learning is important.	fully agree		fully disagree	n=11	av.=1,7	md=2,0	dev.=0,6

2. Organisation

2.1) Safety instructions were sufficient.	fully agree		fully disagree	n=11	av.=1,5	md=1,0	dev.=0,7
2.2) Laboratory facilities were sufficiently well explained.	fully agree		fully disagree	n=11	av.=1,3	md=1,0	dev.=0,5
2.3) Accompanying material (scripts, bibliographies, downloads etc.) was helpful.	fully agree		fully disagree	n=11	av.=2,0	md=2,0	dev.=0,9
2.4) Volume of accompanying material was adequate.	fully agree		fully disagree	n=11	av.=2,5	md=3,0	dev.=1,5

3. Execution

3.1) Experiments were adequately supported.	fully agree		fully disagree	n=11	av.=1,5	md=1,0	dev.=0,5
3.2) Tasks could be completed in the allotted time.	fully agree		fully disagree	n=11	av.=2,8	md=2,0	dev.=1,7
3.3) Students could influence the laboratory/training sessions.	fully agree		fully disagree	n=11	av.=1,9	md=2,0	dev.=1,0
3.4) Performance of the students was assessed appropriately.	fully agree		fully disagree	n=11	av.=1,7	md=1,0	dev.=0,9
3.5) I was expected to work independently.	fully agree		fully disagree	n=11	av.=4,1	md=5,0	dev.=2,0
3.6) I prefer a laboratory project to carrying out several different experiments.	fully agree		fully disagree	n=11	av.=2,1	md=2,0	dev.=1,0

4. Lecturers

4.1) Lecturers encouraged students to ask questions.	fully agree		fully disagree	n=11	av.=1,3	md=1,0	dev.=0,5
4.2) ...answered questions in an understandable manner.	fully agree		fully disagree	n=11	av.=1,5	md=1,0	dev.=0,8
4.3) ...dealt competently with mistakes made by students.	fully agree		fully disagree	n=11	av.=1,4	md=1,0	dev.=0,7
4.4) ...pointed out typical mistakes.	fully agree		fully disagree	n=11	av.=1,3	md=1,0	dev.=0,6
4.5) ...helped if there were any queries.	fully agree		fully disagree	n=11	av.=1,2	md=1,0	dev.=0,4
4.6) ...expected students to work independently.	fully agree		fully disagree	n=11	av.=2,9	md=2,0	dev.=1,9
4.7) ...expressed constructive criticism.	fully agree		fully disagree	n=11	av.=2,1	md=2,0	dev.=1,0
4.8) ...themselves reacted appropriately to criticism.	fully agree		fully disagree	n=11	av.=1,6	md=2,0	dev.=0,7
4.9) ...created a pleasant working atmosphere.	fully agree		fully disagree	n=11	av.=1,5	md=1,0	dev.=0,7
4.10) ...treated all students equally irrespective of gender, origin, appearance, etc.	fully agree		fully disagree	n=11	av.=1,5	md=1,0	dev.=0,7

5. General conditions

5.1) Facilities and equipment were adequate in themselves.	fully agree		fully disagree	n=11	av.=1,5	md=1,0	dev.=0,5
5.2) Facilities and equipment were available in sufficient quantities.	fully agree		fully disagree	n=11	av.=1,5	md=1,0	dev.=0,5

6. Overall impression

6.1) I learned very much during the course.	fully agree		fully disagree	n=11	av.=1,6	md=1,0	dev.=0,8
6.2) Time effort and learning success were well matched.	fully agree		fully disagree	n=11	av.=1,5	md=1,0	dev.=0,8
6.3) Performance assessment was reasonable.	fully agree		fully disagree	n=11	av.=1,5	md=1,0	dev.=0,8
6.4) Which grade would you give this module/unit?	Excellent (1)		Very poor (6)	n=11	av.=1,9	md=1,0	dev.=1,0

Comments Report

6. Overall impression

6.5) What did you like best about the course?

- I learn really much about Linklayer, Internet layer and transport layer.
- Prof. Hahm is very friendly and devoted. His course teaches me a lot. Love him :)
- The course helps me to understand more about how networks work, and is a practical way to study beside the theory course.
- The lecturer's speech is well-structured, logical, and easy to follow. Appropriate space for self-research and experiment. The lecturer is friendly and is always willing to help with a very nice attitude.
- The teacher is very supportive. I can learn the theory in more easier way to understand and remember it easier

6.6) What did you not like about the course? Do you have any suggestions for improvement?

- Should have more of this course like in the application layer and transport layer. Also a course like this but in Operating System, because OS theoreticle is so boring
- The course is enjoyable. But if there is anything to improve, may it be providing instructions, or hints for further practice and research in the future, or providing online resources for practice at home. Since the lab time is not enough for every experiment and is exercise-solving driven.
- The course tends to be overwhelming. I would like it to be less counter-intuitive, and more instructions for easier following, especially with newer students who have no experience at first.
- The time slot makes us go home quite late.